

REMARKS—General

Applicant has amended the specification to comply with the objections to the Specification and to comply with 35 U.S.C. 112, 1st paragraph and 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph rejection of the claims. He has amended the specification editorially and to correct those errors noted by the Examiner.

Applicant has rewritten the claims 1-7 as new claims 8-14 to define the invention more particularly and distinctly so as to overcome the technical rejections and to more particularly define the invention in a patentable manner.

RE: INFORMATION DISCLOSURE STATEMENT:

A copy of Isenberg, "Science of Soap Films and Soap Bubbles" is submitted.

A copy of Williams, "The Integraticircumeionicateenatic Principle" referenced as "in preparation" in the original specification has been published and is submitted. The reference is added to the Information Disclosure Statement and the citation is amended in the specification.

Objection to the Specification under 37 CFR 1.71:

With respect to objections that portions of the Specification are incomprehensible:

Applicant has amended Glossary definitions of "Event" and "Space" to be in correlation with art accepted terminology, so that a proper comparison with prior art can be made by the Examiner. These amendments also delineate those parts of the glossary discussion that are hypothetical, for additional clarification.

Applicant has amended Annotated Glossary definition of "Platen" for clarity and to delineate hypothetical considerations.

Applicant has revised introductions to both the “Annotated Glossary” and the “Brief Glossary” to clearly state the intent of each glossary and has reversed positions of the glossaries, to wit:

(1) Applicant has placed the “Annotated Glossary” under the “BACKGROUND” section (page 2) of the Specification for a broad overview of background and prior art.

(2) Applicant has placed the “Brief Glossary” following “THEORY OF THE INVENTION” section (page 13), in order that the definitions of the “Brief Glossary” apply to the invention itself.

Applicant has deleted the definition of “Infusion” from both glossaries, added a definition for “Polyhedron” (mentioned on page 32 of Specification), and has editorially amended other portions of the Specification for clarity.

Applicant submits that these amendments add no new matter to the Specification, and that these amendments clarify the disclosure so that the Examiner may make a proper comparison of the invention with the prior art.

Claim Rejections under 35 USC §112:

With respect to the enablement requirement: The Applicant states that the equations from Table I 20 on pages 38-9, the typical angle requirements stated on pages 33 and 36, the flow chart in Fig. 2, and the discussion of hierarchies and levels of space on pages 51-55 of the Specification, enable a person skilled in the art to which it pertains to use the method to establish Platens, Domain limits, Domain Boundaries, etc., and thereby to create the maps of the present invention. The new claim 8 reflects these clarifications.

Applicant understands that he did not present these tools with the proper emphasis, and thanks the examiner for suggesting this clarification. Applicant has, therefore, added amendments to pages 33 and 36 in order to more forcefully state the importance of these tools, thereby clarifying means for determination of spatial and energetic boundaries. These clarifications eliminate the former vagueness with regards the formation, size, shapes, angles, etc. of Platens, Domains, and Domain Boundaries.

As stated earlier, Applicant has amended certain definitions for clarity

Claim Rejections under 35 USC § 112

Applicant has canceled Claims 1-7, and provided new claims 8, 9, 13, and 14, and amended claims 10, 11, and 12, thereby clarifying the steps necessary for generating the maps.

It is hoped that the clarifications/amendments in the Specification regarding the essential angles, the exemplary mathematical equations (Table I 20), and the Generalized Methods shown in Fig. 2 also address this clarification in an adequate manner.

New Claim 8 complies with the “enablement requirement” by including references to Table I 20 and Fig. 2. Also, applicant’s clarified definitions of “Space” and “Event” in the Brief Glossary of the Theory of Invention Section now reflect generally accepted and traditional definitions, thereby allowing someone versed in the subject to generate maps of the invention.

New Claims 8, 13, and 14, and currently amended dependent Claims 9-12, further show how the components of the invention actually provide the user with useable maps of the invention

Conditional Request for Constructive Assistance

Applicant has amended the specification and claims of this application so that they are proper, definite, and define novel structure which is also unobvious. If, for any reason this application is not believed to be in full condition for allowance, applicant respectfully requests the constructive assistance and suggestions of the Examiner pursuant to M.P.E.P. #2173.02 and #707.07 in order that the undersigned can place this application in allowable condition as soon as possible and without the need for further proceedings.

Respectfully,



Robert Edward Williams

Applicant pro se

Enc:

PO. Box 152, Llano, California 93544, Tel. (661) 261-3321; Fax. (661) 261-3321

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this correspondence, and attachments, if any, will be deposited with the United States Postal Service by First Class Mail, postage prepaid, in an envelope addressed to "Box Non-Fee Amendments, Commissioner for Patents, PO Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450" on the date below:

Date 11 Oct. 2005 Inventors Signature 